

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES
OF THE VILLAGE OF NORTH BARRINGTON WHICH WAS HELD MONDAY,
MAY 24, 2004 AT THE NORTH BARRINGTON VILLAGE HALL,
111 OLD BARRINGTON ROAD

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

At 7:32 P.M. President Starkey called the Meeting to order. The Clerk led the Pledge of Allegiance, and then called the roll:

Present: President Starkey, Trustees Bartlett, Parker, Forman, Peterson and
Mazeski

Absent: None

Also Present: Kathy Nelander, Village Clerk

Judy Janus, Administrative Assistant

J.W. Braithwaite, Village Attorney

Al Stefan, Baxter & Woodman

Robert Nelander, 237 Beachview Lane

Bobbie Svacha, Village Treasurer

Barbara Benson, N. Crown Road, Barrington

Barbara Potter, 285 Biltmore Drive

Pat Ryan, 404 Concord Lane

J. Zaretsky, 495 Randolph Court

Lisa LaBute, 223 N. Route 59

Tim Pattison, 182 Kimberly Road

Peder Finnberg, PAF & Assoc., 1207 Algonquin Road

Alan & Jackie Briggs, 295 Border Lane

Bob Bachlor, 321 S. Buesching, Lake Zurich Fire Protection

Denis Taillon, Plan Commission

Kelly Rafferty, Building and Zoning Officer

Brian Thomson, 580 Crooked Lane

Natalie Karney, Health Officer

Patricia Kemper, 471 E. Oxford Road

Andrea Pracht, Baxter & Woodman

Mr. & Mrs. Don Starkey, Palatine

Patrick Potts, 25886 W. Scott, Barrington

Matt & Janis Menges, 145 Clover Hill

II. Public Comment

Tim Pattison, 182 Kimberly Road, addressed the Board. He explained that the subdivision request for the property at 210 Rainbow Road would be reviewed by the Board at the June meeting. Mr. Pattison said that he would like to personally walk the property and explain the

plans to all the members of the Board. Mr. Pattison passed out his business cards and encouraged the Board to contact him before the June 28 meeting.

III: Consent Agenda

Two items were removed from the consent agenda for discussion, Items D and E.

Motion: On motion of Trustee Parker, seconded by Trustee Forman, the following agenda items were unanimously approved by a single omnibus vote:

- A. Approve the Minutes of Board and Special Meetings, April 26, 2004
- B. Approve the May 24, 2004 Vouchers for payment of bills
- C. Approve the Treasurer's Reports for the 12th month of Fiscal Year 2004

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, Parker, Mazeski and Peterson, President	Starkey
	Nays:	None	
	Absent:	None	
	Abstain:	None	

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

Removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion and action:

D. Adopt Ordinance #1033 regarding recently enacted provisions of the State Ethics Act

President Starkey referred to the memo from Village Attorney Nancy Harbottle regarding the State Ethics Act. The memo explains that all units of local government and school districts are required to adopt an ordinance adopting certain provisions of the State Ethics Act, and that the new legislature replaces the former State Gift Ban Act. There were many questions from the Board. The Board decided to table the matter in order to get further information about the Ordinance.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to table Ordinance #1033; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared Ordinance #1033 tabled.

E. Adopt Resolution #2313 (MFT Funds) for Maintenance of Streets and Highways

President Starkey explained that the resolution applied to the Village's road program. Trustee Parker said that the road project was estimated to cost approximately \$150,000. Trustee Parker said that the resolution authorizes the Village to move forward, using the MFT funds, to put the work out to bid and complete the work.

Motion: Trustee Forman moved to approve Resolution #2313; seconded by Trustee Mazeski.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, Mazeski, Peterson and Parker, President Starkey
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	None
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared Resolution #2313 approved and put on file.

President Starkey explained that Village Treasurer Bobbie Svacha had asked that funds be transferred to balance the books from Fiscal Year 2004. President Starkey explained that the Contingency account is used when areas go over the budgeted amount, in this case Administration, BACOG, Health & Sanitation, Insurance and the Road Department.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to transfer and charge the following FY2004 expenditures to Contingency: Administration \$4,731.42, BACOG \$128.20, Health & Sanitation \$655.50, Insurance \$106.00, Road Department \$41,917.37, totaling \$47,538.40; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, Mazeski, Peterson and Parker, President Starkey
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	None
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

IV. Appointments/Resignations

President Starkey explained that there were ten Resolutions, all appointments, for the Board's review. There was some discussion about the expiration of the current term of the appointments. The Board discussed tabling the Resolutions, in order to have a Closed Session for further discussion.

Motion: Trustee Forman moved to table the Resolutions; seconded by Trustee Mazeski.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, and Mazeski
	Nays:	Trustees Parker and Peterson
	Absent:	None
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared the motion approved and the Resolutions tabled.

V. Variations/Subdivisions/Code Amendments

A. Ordinance #1034 Zoning Variation Ordinance regarding LaBute, 223 N. Route 59

President Starkey explained the variances to the code requested by Lisa LaBute:

ZR-9A-2(A): No fence shall be permitted in front yards except ornamental fences.
The proposed privacy fencing will be located within the front yard of the property.

ZR-9A-2(B): Except as otherwise permitted by this Chapter or otherwise by the Village Code, no fence shall be more than three (3) feet in height.
The proposed privacy fencing will be eight (8) feet in height.

ZR-9A-2(I): Every fence shall be constructed with at least fifty percent (50%) open space between the elements of which the fence is constructed in order that one may see through the fence when viewed in a direction perpendicular to the fence.
The proposed fencing is a solid privacy type design.

President Starkey noted that there were no objections from neighbors or Village Staff to the proposed fence. President Starkey noted that the Village has typically allowed up to eight foot privacy fences for homes on Route 59 and Route 22, with the stipulation that the fence fall within the property lines and that the finished side face the outside of the property. President Starkey reported that the Zoning Board of Appeals had unanimously recommended the approval of the requested variance.

President Starkey asked Ms. LaBute to address the Board and explain her request. Ms. LaBute explained that her house was located north of Golfview Drive on Route 59. Ms. LaBute explained that there was an existing privacy fence in the front of the property, but it was falling down and in disrepair. She explained that they wanted to replace the fence. She explained that she originally had requested an eight foot fence, but she has since considered scaling it down to six feet. Ms. LaBute said that the proposed PVC fence would look like wood fencing and would

be maintenance free. Ms. LaBute said that she would prefer the synthetic material for maintenance purposes and she would prefer the color white to match her 1920's Cape Cod style home. Ms. LaBute also offered to plant shrubs in front of the fence, to mask the fence.

Trustee Kelly Mazeski addressed the Board and explained that Zoning Board of Appeals Chairman Warren Nass was not in the audience but wanted to make a statement regarding the fence request. She explained that Chairman Nass, although he did not bring this up at the meeting, had strong concerns about the fence being a white fence. Trustee Mazeski said that she too had concerns, and felt that the fence would look better if it were of a natural wood color to blend with the other fences along Route 59. Trustees Bartlett, Parker and Forman said that they also had concerns about the white fence.

There were questions from the Board and further discussion about the fence, its materials and color.

Motion: Trustee Bartlett moved to adopt Ordinance #1034 allowing Ms. Lisa LaBute to replace the existing wooden privacy fence located along the front of the property adjacent to State Route 59 with approximately 239 lineal feet of up to 8 foot high natural wood privacy fencing using a natural colored finish; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, Mazeski, Peterson and Parker
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	None
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared Ordinance #1034 approved and put on file.

B. Ordinance #1035 Zoning Variation Ordinance regarding Hoppe, 476 Eton Drive

President Starkey explained the variances to the code requested by Mr. & Mrs. John Hoppe:

ZR-8-2(C): Incidental buildings, detached from the main building, shall have a minimum setback of ten (10) feet from the side and rear lot lines.

The proposed detached Pool House will be located approximately 3.25 feet from the side lot line.

President Starkey invited Mr. and Mrs. Hoppe to address the Board and explain the reasons for the variance request. Mrs. Hoppe explained that they wanted to demolish the existing pool/pump house replace it with a larger pool/pump house, with attached built in grill, refrigerator and fireplace.

Trustee Mazeski said that ZBA Chairman, Warren Nass, had concerns about bringing the fencing at the pool area up to Village Code. Mrs. Hoppe gave a letter to Trustee Mazeski, which was signed by both Mr. & Mrs. Hoppe. Trustee Mazeski read the letter to the Board: "In compliance with the Zoning Board, we intend to install a fence around our swimming pool that meets the Village of North Barrington Building Code. The fence will either be 1/2" square wrought iron or 1/2" square aluminum, in either case, painted black. The fence will be 4'6" enclosing the pool area and surrounding deck with 3 self closing gates."

There were questions from the Board for Village Engineer Andrea Pracht. Ms. Pracht explained that she is recommending that the Hoppes be required to secure a survey of the topography of the back of the pool area in order to document the location of the floodplain relative to the proposed addition, as well as provide proposed grading information to document that no grading or work would occur in the floodplain. Ms. Pracht said that this was for the Village's protection due to insurance requirements for flood insurance. The Hoppes explained that the new pool house would be in the same location as the old pool house, on the existing patio. Village Engineer Andrea Pracht said that the new pool house would be larger and would have foundation footings, and that she was concerned about where the excavated dirt would be located. The Hoppes did not feel that they should be required to submit the survey. It was decided that a survey should be completed for the protection of the homeowner as well as the Village. There was lengthy discussion.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to adopt Ordinance #1035 allowing Mr. & Mrs. John Hoppe to demolish an existing pump/pool house and replace it with a new 224 square foot pool house and outdoor cooking/barbeque facilities using the plans prepared by Kaya K. Doyle, AIA, dated 3/15/04, subject to the installation of fencing to comply with Village code provisions and regulations which apply to swimming pools, and that a topographic survey be performed to determine the location of the floodplain relative to the proposed addition, and that grading information be provided to document that no grading or work will occur in the floodplain, as well as any other requirements as set forth by Village staff; seconded by Trustee Forman.

Discussion: There was lengthy discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, Mazeski, Peterson and Parker
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	None
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared Ordinance #1035 approved and put on file.

C. Ordinance #1036 Health & Sanitation Variation Ordinance regarding Zaretsky, 495 Randolph Court

President Starkey explained the variances to the code requested by Mr. Jacob Zaretsky:

4-5A-7E6: Minimum Distances. The locations of all components of an individual sewage disposal system shall comply with minimum distances.

The proposed location of the proposed at-grade system does not meet code requirements for setbacks from the property line and easements. Septic treatment areas including expansion must be 25 feet from lot lines and 10 feet from drainage easements. The plan does not meet these requirements as follows:

- * Expansion area of west mound is only 10 feet from the south property line and 0 feet from the utility easement
- * Expansion area of east mound is only 10 feet from the south property line and 0 feet from the easement
- * Expansion area of west mound is only 15 feet from the property line

President Starkey invited Mr. Zaretsky and any representatives to address the Board regarding the septic variance request. Peder Finnberg, PAF & Assoc., the engineering firm who designed the septic system, addressed the Board. Mr. Finnberg explained that Mr. Zaretsky wanted to remodel and improve the house, including adding an additional bedroom to make it a five bedroom house. He explained that in order to do so, the homeowner would have to modify the septic field. Mr. Finnberg explained that whenever a system had to be modified, the County did not allow a trench system to be installed, but rather either a mound system or an at-grade system. Mr. Finnberg explained that they were proposing an at-grade system. He noted that the primary field would be code compliant, but the expansion field would encroach on the 25 foot setbacks, thus requiring a variance from the Village Code. Mr. Finnberg explained that they had appeared before the Environmental & Health Commission, and received a favorable recommendation from the Commission.

Village Health Officer Natalie Karney addressed the Board. Ms. Karney explained that she had two major concerns about the proposed system, the availability of suitable soils, as well as that all the proposed septic, both the primary and the expansion field, is being proposed to be built on top of an existing septic system. Ms. Karney explained that additional soil borings were requested when three out of the five sampled returned unacceptable, but the additional five borings came back acceptable. Ms. Karney also explained that, in the past, virgin grounds were available for the expansion area of a septic field. She explained that the existing field was repaired in 1990 and much of the expansion area was used up with extra trenches.

Health Officer Karney explained that this request also brought some philosophy issues to the Board. She explained that the Board is reviewing a septic variance for the addition of a bedroom. She reiterated that there were no virgin grounds at the site on which to put the septic system. Ms. Karney said that there probably will be similar requests in the future and the Village should think about how they are going to deal with similar requests; which would be that the only available area for a septic system is on top of an old system.

Ms. Karney explained that, as with new construction, a septic engineer would always want an area that has not been disturbed or compacted, for both the primary and expansion area. In this case, there is disturbed area and compaction, which was either done during the course of construction of the original septic, or from whatever activities, could have taken place in that area. The homeowner is proposing to put another system on top of an area that in some locations is still compacted. Ms. Karney noted that there could be more disturbances to the soils with the activity of the new system being installed. Natalie explained that if this were new construction, the Village would never approve this. Ms. Karney recapped that the homeowner would like to add a bedroom, which would require modifying the existing system, but there is not enough room or suitable soils to do this, which requires installing another system, and in order to make it fit for a five bedroom home, they need the variances to the property line.

Trustee Parker asked what the homeowner would do if they kept the home as a four bedroom. Ms. Karney said that they wouldn't have to do anything to the system, but that she would make recommendations, such as the addition of an aeration system, to try to lengthen the life of the system. Ms. Karney explained that individuals within the Lake County Health Department have indicated that it was not preferable to put a new system on top of an old system, if you had other space available on the property, as they had experienced some "short circuiting" of the systems. Ms. Karney answered questions about other problems with an at-grade system. There was lengthy discussion and the Board decided that they did not have enough information on the success rate of such a system, and there was a policy issue involved with the approval of such a system for optional expansion versus a required repair. The Board decided to refer the issue back to the Environmental & Health Commission for further review and research.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to refer the matter back to the Environmental and Health Commission for further review; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was lengthy discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

VI. COMMISSION AND STAFF REPORTS

President Starkey stated that the following items were contained in the Trustee's packets and that if there were any questions, to contact the corresponding Commission Chair or Village Officer.

A. Building Department Report (Kelly Rafferty - Rafferty Architects)

The Building and Zoning Department Activity Report was made available to the Board.

B. Engineering Project Status Report (Al Stefan - Baxter & Woodman)

The Engineering Project Status Report was made available to the Board.

C. Health & Sanitation Report (Natalie Karney - Land Technology)

The Health & Sanitation Monthly Report was made available to the Board.

D. Plan Commission Meeting Minutes (May 2004)

The Minutes of the Meeting were made available to the Board.

E. ZBA Minutes (May 2004)

The Minutes of the Meeting were made available to the Board.

F. Environmental & Health Commission Meeting Minutes (April & May 2004)

The Minutes of the Meetings were made available to the Board.

G. Parks & Recreation Commission Meeting (May 2004)

The Minutes of the Meeting were made available to the Board.

H. Staff Meeting Minutes (May 2004)

The Minutes of the Meeting were made available to the Board.

VII. ADMINISTRATION

A. Barrington/North Barrington Intergovernmental Agreement (tabled)

President Starkey said that the topic would remain tabled. Village Attorney Braithwaite explained that the Village of Barrington was considering using a different consultant to complete the work and that he was awaiting information.

B. Ordinance #1036 - Update Impact Fee Ordinance

President Starkey explained that the Village had adopted an Impact Fee Ordinance in February of 2003 and BACOG had recommended that certain changes be made to the code provisions, in the nature of updating. President Starkey outlined Ordinance #1036 which made changes to the Impact Fee Ordinance which had been made available to the Board in the Agenda packet. There were some questions from the Board.

Motion: Trustee Forman moved to adopt Ordinance #1036; seconded by Trustee Mazeski.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, Mazeski, Peterson and Parker, President	Starkey
	Nays:	None	
	Absent:	None	
	Abstain:	None	

President Starkey declared Ordinance #1036 approved and put on file.

C. Farrell - Car Damage

President Starkey explained that the Village had received a request from Mr. Michael Farrell, and asked Trustee Parker to outline the request. Trustee Parker referred to the letter, photos and a bill, which were included in the Trustees packets, which explained that Mr. Farrell claimed \$686.27 damage to his vehicle from a pothole on Honey Lake Road, and was asking the Village to reimburse him for his costs. Trustee Parker explained that the pothole referenced had developed late in the winter and had since been repaired. Trustee Parker also noted that the speed limit on Honey Lake Road is 25 mph.

Motion: Trustee Parker moved to not reimburse Mr. Farrell for the damage to his vehicle; seconded by Trustee Forman.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

D. Lease Agreement – Biltmore Country Club

President Starkey explained that the item would be tabled until there was a full Board present. It was noted that background information would be provided for the new Trustees.

Motion: Trustee Parker moved to table the matter; seconded by Trustee Mazeski.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared the motion approved and the matter tabled.

E. Stormwater Management Update

There was no update available.

F. Motion to authorize Environmental & Health Commission expenditure for funds for drainage projects

President Starkey explained that she added the topic without knowing if the Environmental & Health Commission had any pending issues. E&H Commission member Barb Potter asked that the Concord Lane drainage issue be on the agenda for the June Board meeting.

G. BACOG

President Starkey referred to the proposed BACOG FY2004-2005 Budget available on the Trustees' desktop. She outlined the cost increases on the Budget, most of which were involved with the increase in hours for the Administrative Assistant and Executive Director positions.

Motion: Trustee Parker moved to accept the proposed BACOG Budget for FY2004-2005 as presented from the BACOG Finance Committee; seconded by Trustee Peterson.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, Forman, Mazeski, Peterson and Parker, President Starkey
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	None
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

H. Motion to adopt Ethics Ordinance (tabled)

The topic remained tabled.

President Starkey explained that she had received an email from Parks and Recreation Commission Chair Cassidy Towne asking for the authorization of funds to host a concert at Eton Park on June 26, 2004. President Starkey explained that they were looking for up to \$2000 to pay for the stage, sound equipment, and other expenditures. President Starkey explained that the authorization would be in principle, as the item was not on the agenda, but it would allow the Commission to work on the upcoming concert.

Motion: Trustee Parker moved to accept the Parks & Recreation Commission recommendation and approve in principle for the Commission the expenditure of up to \$2000 for a concert at Eton Park on June 26, 2004; seconded by Trustee Forman.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

VIII. Reports by Board of Trustees

A. Trustee Sidney Bartlett

Trustee Bartlett reported that the Plan Commission was still working on a tear down ordinance for the Village. Trustee Bartlett explained that Chairman Martin Pais had pulled all the objectives from the recently completed Comprehensive Plan for prioritizing. She explained that the Commission was going to prioritize these matters and forward to the Board for their review. Trustee Bartlett reported that suggestions for a lighting ordinance and steep slope ordinance were made to the Plan Commission from the Environmental Commission.

B. Trustee Kimberly Forman

Trustee Forman reported that she was trying to schedule a meeting time with Lake Barrington regarding the upcoming Police contract.

C. Trustee Kelly Mazeski

Trustee Mazeski had nothing further to report.

D. Trustee Craig Parker

Trustee Parker explained that the roads program consisted of two parts, re-surfacing and repairs. Trustee Parker explained that the Village collects approximately \$14,000 a month from motor fuel taxes and the monies were used for the Village's road program. Trustee Parker explained that they were close to moving forward with the bid package.

E. Trustee Dave Peterson

Trustee Peterson explained that the Village was going to continue to use Clarke for the mosquito program. Trustee Peterson reported that there had been a lot of activity with stormwater management, including the Flint Creek study as well as the Ted Gray report with regard to Concord Lane, at the Environmental & Health Commission level. Trustee Peterson said that Ted Gray had given a presentation to the Commission, and that the Commission was having an additional meeting in order to go over the report. He suggested that all the Trustees attend the special meeting on June 10, 2004 to discuss the Ted Gray recommendations.

IX. CLOSED SESSION.

There was no closed session.

X. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business to discuss.

XI. NEW BUSINESS

A. Motion to accept President Starkey's Resignation

President Starkey addressed the audience and the Board. She explained that she was resigning from her position as Village President due to her family relocating. President Starkey thanked the residents, staff, volunteers and her family for all the support during her time as Trustee and Village President. There were questions for President Starkey about the transition of power. President Starkey said that she would meet with the acting president to explain any outstanding issues.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to accept President Starkey's resignation effective upon the election of the Acting President; seconded by Trustee Forman.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared the motion approved. Trustee Peterson thanked President Starkey for all her service to the Village and she would be missed. Trustee Parker also thanked President Starkey for all her contributions to the Village.

B. Motion to elect Trustee to office of Acting President

President Starkey referred to the memo from Village Attorney outlining the selection of an Acting President by the Village Board. President Starkey outlined the procedures to be followed in selecting an Acting president, either by open nominations from members from the Board in order to have a slate of candidates, or could call for a motion for a nomination and vote on each one separately. Village Attorney Braithwaite explained that since there were five Trustees, three votes were required for the Board to make the appointment. The Board decided to proceed with the nomination procedure.

Trustee Peterson nominated Trustee Craig Parker to be appointed as Acting President. Trustee Parker accepted the nomination.

Trustee Forman nominated herself to be appointed as Acting President, and she accepted the nomination.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to close the nominations; seconded by Trustee Bartlett.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

Trustee Bartlett asked if Trustee Parker would be in town thru April of 2005 for the Board Meetings. Trustee Parker said that he would be here for the meetings. Trustee Forman asked about residency issues as Trustee Parker's house is on the market. Village Attorney Braithwaite said that the statutes are very general when it comes to describing the duties of the Village President, and reiterated that Trustee Parker had confirmed that he would remain a resident and would be here for the meetings.

The Village Clerk called the vote:

Trustee Bartlett voted for Trustee Parker.

Trustee Forman voted for Trustee Forman.

Trustee Mazeski voted for Trustee Parker.

Trustee Parker voted for Trustee Parker.

Trustee Peterson voted for Trustee Parker.

The Village Clerk declared Trustee Parker Acting President of the Village of North Barrington. The Village Clerk swore in Trustee Parker as Acting President of the Village of North Barrington.

Acting Village President Parker invited Linda Starkey to the podium. Acting Village President Parker read a proclamation declaring May 24, 2004 as Linda Starkey K. Day in the Village of North Barrington. Gifts were given to Linda Starkey on behalf of the Board. Linda Starkey presented a "Village President Survival Kit" to Acting President Craig Parker.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Trustee Peterson moved to adjourn the meeting; seconded by Trustee Mazeski.

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

At 9:30 p.m. Acting President Parker declared the meeting adjourned and invited the audience for refreshments.

These Minutes were approved by the Board at a meeting held July 26, 2004.

Attest: _____

Kathy Nelander, Village Clerk

